

# NNT and NNH for Acute Bipolar Depression Monotherapy\*

Eric Teboul MD, CM

July 2016

erictboul@videotron.ca

Pharmacotherapy	NNT		NNH				
	Response <sup>a</sup>	Remission <sup>b</sup>	Wt gain ≥7%	Sedation	Akathisia	Nausea	EPS
Quetiapine (IR/XR) <sup>1</sup> 300 or 600 mg/d	6	6	15	2	nr	nr	19
Lurasidone <sup>2</sup> 20 to 60 mg/d <sup>c</sup>	4	6	29	77	18	37	40
Lurasidone <sup>2</sup> 80 to 120 mg/d <sup>d</sup>	5	7	sp	13	12	10	15
OFC <sup>3</sup> 6/25, 6/50, or 12/50 mg/d <sup>e</sup>	4	4	5	12	nr	36	15
Olanzapine <sup>3,4</sup> 5 to 20 mg/d <sup>f</sup>	11-12	11-12	5	6	nr	bp	nr
Lithium <sup>5</sup> (mean median serum conc: .61 mEq/L) <sup>g</sup>	15 <sup>h</sup>	13 <sup>h</sup>	bp	24	nr	12	nr
Lamotrigine <sup>6</sup> 100 to 400 mg/d <sup>i</sup>	12		nr	37	nr	bp	nr
Valproate <sup>7</sup> (mean serum conc: 430 - 568 μmol/L)	7	7	nr	nr	nr	6	nr
Aripiprazole <sup>8</sup> 5 to 30 mg/d <sup>j</sup>	44 <sup>h</sup>		nr	33	5	12	nr

\*N.B. Based on calculations from placebo-controlled studies only. Important limitations to these indirect comparisons include the fact that the study populations (Bipolar I with or without Bipolar II, with or without psychosis) and the durations (usually 6 or 8 weeks) differed.

In this table, NNT and NNH are rounded to the nearest whole number (i.e. rounded upwards only if the calculation ended in .5 or more).

**The only treatments approved by Health Canada for the treatment of bipolar depression are Quetiapine and Lurasidone**

## Abbreviations:

NNT = number of patients needed to treat to achieve one extra responder or remitter in the treatment arm compared to the placebo arm of the trial  
 NNH = number of patients needed to treat to harm one extra person in the treatment arm with the undesired effect compared to the placebo arm  
 OFC = olanzapine-fluoxetine combination  
 mg/d = milligrams per day  
 nr = incidence of the side effect was not reported because it was below a certain frequency (usually < 5% or 10%) in treatment and placebo groups  
 sp = same as placebo (i.e. incidence of undesirable effect was identical in the treatment and placebo groups)  
 bp = below placebo (i.e. the incidence of the undesirable effect was less in the treatment group than in placebo group)  
 RPCT = Randomized placebo-controlled trial

## Footnotes:

a	Defined as 50% improvement on Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) in most studies
b	Defined as ≤ 12 points on MADRS at end point in most studies
c	Mean daily dose = 31.8 mg (taken with ≥ 350 calories)
d	Mean daily dose = 82.0 mg (taken with ≥ 350 calories)
e	6/25 means olanzapine 6mg and fluoxetine 25 mg, etc. The mean daily doses were 7.4 mg/d for olanzapine and 39.3 mg/d for fluoxetine
f	The mean modal Olanzapine dose was 9.7 mg/d in Tohen M et al 2003 <sup>3</sup> but not stated in Tohen M et al 2012 <sup>4</sup>
g	35% of patients had median serum concentrations below 0.6 mEq/L; so this trial <sup>5</sup> was not probably not a fair test of lithium for bipolar depression
h	The improvement was not statistically significant versus placebo
i	The 50 mg dose was subtherapeutic, so not included in the analyses. Most other patients in the trials <sup>6</sup> were titrated to a fixed dose of 200 mg/d
j	In both studies <sup>8</sup> , aripiprazole was flexibly dosed and the most common dose at end point was 10 mg/d

## References:

1	NNT from reviews of 5 RPCTs: <i>Selle V et al Pharmacopsychiatry 2014;47:43-52</i> and <i>Chiesa A et al. Int Clin Psychopharmacol 2012;27(2):76-90</i> NNH calculated from data provided in <i>Citrome L. CNS Spectrums 2014;19:1-12</i> (based on three 8-week RPCTs)
2	PREVAIL 2: a 6-week RPCT ( <i>Loebel A et al. Am J Psychiatry 2014;17(2):160-168</i> )
3	8-week RPCT of Olanzapine vs OFC vs placebo ( <i>Tohen M et al. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2003;60(11):1079-88</i> )
4	6-week RPCT of Olanzapine vs placebo ( <i>Tohen M et al. Br J Psychiatry 2012;201:376-382</i> )
5	EMBOLDEN I: an 8-week RPCT of Lithium vs Quetiapine vs placebo ( <i>Young AH et al. J Clin Psychiatry 2010;71(2):150-162</i> )
6	NNT from an independent meta-analysis and meta-regression of individual patient data from 5 RPCTs with durations of 7 to 10 weeks. Although none of the individual trials reported a significant effect on the primary outcome, response rates with lamotrigine became statistically significant when data from the 5 trials were pooled. Although overall NNT = 12, in subgroup analyses response rate was significant only in those with severe (baseline HDRS > 24) depression (45.5% with Lam vs 30.1% with placebo, so NNT = 7; P = .001) but not in the less severely depressed subgroup (47.5% with Lam vs 44.6% with placebo, so NNT = 35; P = .4). ( <i>Geddes JR et al. Br J Psychiatry 2009;194:4-9</i> ). NNH values were calculated from data in <i>Calabrese JR et al. Bipolar Disorders 2008;10:323-333</i> . NNH for benign rash = 44. Serious rash: 1/1000 – 1/2000
7	Meta-analysis of 4 small RPCTs: durations (6 or 8 weeks), patients included (bipolar I or both I and II) and definitions of response and remission varied in the trials, and total sample size was small, so the evidence was termed "preliminary" ( <i>Bond DJ et al. J Affect Disord 2010;124:228-234</i> )
8	NNT and NNH calculated from data in <i>Thase ME et al. J Clin Psychopharmacol 2008;28(1):13-20</i> (2 identically designed, 8-week RPCTs)